

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
SSC-Department 9

Keshara Shaw, et al. vs Los Angeles United School District, et al. Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk  
20STCV36489

**CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER**

CONFIRMED COPY  
ORIGINAL FILED  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles

FEB 25 2021

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk  
By: Pedro Martinez, Deputy

The court has read the Joint Status Conference Report filed in this case and issues the following Case Management Order:

1. Defendants indicated that they intend to challenge the First Amended Complaint (FAC) by Demurrer and/or Motion to Strike. Plaintiffs stated they intend to file a Motion for Preliminary Injunction. And all parties requested leave to file Motions to Compel Discovery. The Court lifts the stay for all purposes, except as described in paragraph 6.
2. Defendants' Demurrers and/or Motions to Strike are set for hearing on April 5, 2021, 10 a.m., Dept. 9. If no Demurrers and/or Motions to Strike are filed, Defendants must file an Answer to the FAC by March 22, 2021. The Court sets a nonappearance case management review re: responsive pleading for March 26, 2021, 8:30 AM, Department 9.
3. One of Plaintiffs' Motions to Compel Discovery is set for hearing on March 19, 2021, 10 a.m., Dept. 9. Defendants' Motions to Compel Discovery are set for hearing on April 7, 2021, 10 a.m., Dept. 9. Any remaining Plaintiffs' Motions to Compel Discovery are set for hearing on April 8, 2021, 10 a.m., Dept. 9. Counsel are reminded to call the Court and take resolved motions or unneeded reservations off calendar. The Court sets a nonappearance case management review for April 1, 2021, 8:30 AM, Department 9.
4. Plaintiffs Motion for Preliminary Injunction is set for May 27, 2021, 10 a.m., Dept. 9. The Court sets a nonappearance case management review for May 20, 2021, 8:30 AM, Department 9.
5. All briefing must be completed per the CCP unless the parties file a stipulation and order changing the schedule.
6. Class list discovery. The parties agree that class list discovery is stayed until resolution of the hearing on the Motion for Preliminary Injunction (set for hearing on May 27, 2021). The decision in In Re Insurance Installment Fee cases (2012) 211 Cal.App.4th 1395, 1426-1429, held that the notice procedure prescribed by the trial court and followed by the defendant was necessary to protect privacy rights under the California Constitution. The parties therefore shall use the procedure described in Belaire-West Landscape v Superior Court (2008) 149 Cal.App.4th 554 to notify putative class members, as described in the applicable paragraph of the currently operative complaint, giving them the opportunity to opt out. The parties are to share the cost of the procedure equally.
  - a. Plaintiff is to take the lead and prepare a proposed letter to be sent out by the agreed-upon third party administrator. The parties will discuss and settle upon a final version.

//

- b. The letter will be written using the administrator's letterhead, not that of any party.
- c. The defense is to turn over the contact information consisting of name, address, phone number, and email address (if available) to the third-party administrator.
- d. Because the putative class list is greater than 400 people, the administrator must randomly select a sample of no more than 400. The contact information for those persons who did not opt out must be turned over to the plaintiff.
7. Protective Order. Parties are alerted that model protective orders may be found at Los Angeles Superior Court website at <http://www.lacourt.org> under "Tools for Litigators." The parties are encouraged to use these model orders as shown, or if modified, as a template for the modified order. A redlined courtesy copy is to be posted on the e-service bulletin board and lodged with the court at the time of filing. The parties are to use the redlined version to identify any changes proposed to the model order.
8. E-service. The parties have agreed, and the Court has signed an order authorizing Case Anywhere as the e-service to be used in this case. The parties shall use the e-service bulletin board in lieu of ex parte motions whenever possible. Argument shall not be posted on the bulletin board.
9. Class Certification Motion. Class Certification Motion filing and serving date is August 25, 2021. The plaintiff is reminded that the plaintiff's brief is to contain a trial plan. Counsel are to call the Court for a hearing date prior to filing and serving the motion. The Court sets a nonappearance case management review for September 1, 2021, 8:30 AM, Department 9.
10. Telephonic conferences. The Court handles pleading and discovery motions informally, using telephonic conferences and LA CourtConnect. Counsel must call the clerk to arrange a date for a telephonic conference and then call the clerk back to confirm that all relevant parties have scheduled the telephonic conference with LA CourtConnect. The telephonic conference will automatically be taken off calendar if all relevant parties have not scheduled the telephonic conference with LA CourtConnect. Parties are to file and serve a 5-page joint brief two days before the conference. Since these conferences are informal, no court reporter or audio recording is permitted.
11. Potential Related Cases. Counsel are ordered to file and serve a Notice of Related Case for any potentially related cases pursuant California Rule of court Rule 3.300. This is a continuing obligation on both plaintiffs and defendants while this case is pending.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 25, 2021

**YVETTE M. PALAZUELOS**

---

YVETTE M. PALAZUELOS  
Judge of the Superior Court